





Students do a presentation on a health care system case study which show improvement of health care through leadership within systems and communities (N5020; appendix I). Students were observed in their clinical areas by their preceptors. Each preceptor possessed either an MSN, DNP or a PhD and provided feedback and scored the students abilities to implement collaborative strategies improve health care outcome within the healthcare systems and communities. Personal face to face feedback was given to each student by the preceptor after the evaluation was completed. Faculty reviewed and discussed results with each student via student meetings (via Zoom) and assignment rubrics (appendix D). Faculty were in contact with preceptors via phone and email. Feedback for N 5605 final capstone paper and quality improvement project was given by faculty via student meetings and assignment rubric (appendix F). Reviewed Skyfactor MSN Exit survey report results (Leadership Skills).

Students were observed in their clinical areas by their preceptors. Each preceptor possessed either an MSN, DNP or a PhD and provided feedback and scored the students abilities to synthesize systems data and evidenced based practice to reduce risk, improve safety and achieve optimal health care outcomes. Personal face to face feedback was given to each student by the preceptor after the evaluation was completed. Faculty reviewed and discussed results with each student via student meetings (via Zoom) and assignment rubrics (appendix D). Faculty were in contact with preceptors via phone and email. Feedback for N 5605 final capstone paper and quality improvement project was given by faculty via student meetings and assignment rubric (appendix F). Reviewed Skyfactor MSN Exit survey report results (Overall learning effectiveness). CNL exam results were reviewed.

Students were observed in their clinical areas by their preceptors. Each preceptor possessed either an MSN, DNP or a PhD and provided feedback and scored the students abilities to implement collaborative strategies for managing human and fiscal resources. Personal face to face feedback was given to each student by the preceptor after the evaluation was completed. Faculty reviewed and discussed results with each student via student meetings (via Zoom) and assignment rubrics (appendix D). Faculty were in contact with preceptors via phone and email. Feedback for N 5605 final capstone paper and quality improvement project was given by faculty via student meetings and assignment rubric (appendix F). Reviewed Skyfactor MSN Exit survey report results (Leadership Skills).

Students do a presentation on a health care system case study where they advocate for policies that improve the health of the public and profession of nursing (N5020; appendix I). Reviewed Skyfactor MSN Exit survey report results (Policy and advocacy rating).

What were the results of the assessment of the learning outcome(s)? Please be specific. Does achievement differ by teaching modality (e.g., online vs. face-to-face) or on-ground location (e.g., STL campus, Madrid campus, other off-campus site)?

Outcome #2: N5200 (appendix E); N5604 & N5605 (appendix D); 5605 (appendix F)

100% of students achieved a satisfactory clinical evaluation in their clinical courses (N5604, N5605). Greater than 80% of students received a grade of B or better on their evidence based/research methods discussion postings (N5200). Greater than 90% of students received a grade of B or better on their capstone quality improvement papers. Skyfactor8 (research): Rating 5.8 on a 7-point scale (benchmark >5.5) and Skyfactor 13 (evidence based) Rating 6 on a 7-point scale (benchmark >5.5).

Outcome #5: N5020 (appendix I); N 5604 and 5605 (appendix D) & N5605 (appendix F)

100% of students achieved a satisfactory clinical evaluation in their clinical courses (N5604 & 5605). Greater than 90% of students received a grade of B or better on their final capstone projects (N5605) and greater than 90% of students

received a grade of B or better on their healthcare systems case study

#### Outcome #2

Results were reviewed by the MSN CNL coordinator and course coordinators and were reviewed at the MSN CNL program meeting and at the ANPPC meeting in May 2022. Strength and weaknesses of assessment tools were discussed.

Outcome #5: Results were reviewed by the MSN CNL coordinator and course coordinators and were reviewed at the MSN CNL program meeting and at the ANPPC meeting in May 2021. Strength and weaknesses of assessment tools were discussed.

Outcome #6: Results were reviewed by the MSN CNL coordinator and course coordinators and were reviewed at the MSN CNL program meeting and at the ANPPC meeting in May 2021. Strength and weaknesses of assessment tools were discussed.

Outcome #8: Results were reviewed by the MSN CNL coordinator and course coordinators and were reviewed at the MSN CNL program meeting and at the ANPPC meeting in May 2021. Strength and weaknesses of assessment tools were discussed.

Outcome #9: Results we

Changes will be implemented following the MSN CNL faculty meeting to discuss the capstone project, paper and poster presentations.

What were the findings of the assessment?

Changes will be implemented following the MSN CNL faculty meeting to discuss the capstone project, paper and poster presentations.

How do you plan to (continue to) use this information moving forward?

Changes will be implemented following the MSN CNL faculty meeting to discuss the capstone project, paper and poster presentations. The changes will be reviewed post-course by the MSN CNL faculty and student evaluations.

## Appendix D

\_\_\_\_\_ NURS 5604 Advanced Clinical Studies

\_\_\_\_\_ NURS 5605 Practicum in Clinical Leadership

Semester: \_\_\_\_\_

Student

Preceptor /Mentor

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Clinical Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

**Please Rate MSN CNL Clinical Student Behaviors which relate to Student Learning Outcomes (SLO) on the Following Scale:**

- E = Excellent
- S = Satisfactory
- I = Improvement Needed
- U = Unsatisfactory
- NA = Not Applicable

If an I (Improvement needed) or U (Unsatisfactory) are given, please give an explanation as to

### RESPONSIBILITY/PROFESSIONALISM

- a. Clinical Preparedness \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Ownership & Accountability \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Punctuality \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Sociocultural awareness \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Identifies and analyzes ethical issues \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Takes ownership of duties as assigned \_\_\_\_\_
- i. Considers human and fiscal resources when making decisions (SLO 8) \_\_\_\_\_

Comment: \_\_\_\_\_

### CLINICAL COMPETENCE – THEORY

- a. Synthesizes relevant data to make decisions (SLO 2, 6) \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Applies evidence-based nursing care to improve processes of care (SLO 2,4,5) \_\_\_\_\_
- d. Utilizes Information technology (SLO 7) \_\_\_\_\_
- e. Synthesizes relevant data to make decisions (SLO 2, 6) \_\_\_\_\_
- f. Proposes a process improvement plan in microsystem (SLO 5, 6) \_\_\_\_\_
- g. Strategically/successfully implements proposed plan (SLO 5) \_\_\_\_\_
- h. Evaluates/disseminates results of improvement plan (SLO 5) \_\_\_\_\_
- i. Asks Relevant Questions \_\_\_\_\_





## Appendix F

### NURS 5605 Practicum in Clinical Leadership

Student Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Criterion: See syllabus for detailed progress report assignment description	Points Possible	Points Earned
1. Clinical Performance and Goals <input type="checkbox"/> Summarize clinical experience thus far (hours spent in direct care) <input type="checkbox"/> Evaluate your performance for the past 5 weeks. <input type="checkbox"/> What went well and what would you do differently? <input type="checkbox"/> Reflect on the progress you have made toward meeting your goals. <input type="checkbox"/> Describe how you will revise your goals for future clinical hours.	15	
2. Clinical experiences from nursing perspective: <input type="checkbox"/> Nursing process: Summarize assessment data and list 3 priority nursing diagnoses	10	
3. State priority nursing diagnosis <input type="checkbox"/> Correctly stated in NANDA format <input type="checkbox"/> Validated and prioritized from written assessment data	10	
4. List the goal and projected outcome criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Measureable and specific to patient <input type="checkbox"/> Appropriate to diagnosis		



## Appendix L

### NURS 5605 Evaluation of Final Capstone Thesis Paper

Project Title:			
StudentName			
Criterion		Points Possible	Points Earned

Abstract

x Pertinent info

## Appendix K

### Letter to Legislator Grading Rubric

Letter to your Legislator	Points Possible/ Earned	Feedback
Addressed Properly	10	
Introduction Introduce yourself and the organization you are supporting	10	
Statement of the issue or concern Brief reason why you support the position. Includes well-thought-out arguments that include/reflect evidence of investigation, facts, statistics.	20	
Relevance  Does the author successfully attempt to relate the issue to the legislator's constituents.	20	
Follow up Include contact information	10	
Overall Quality Formal, professional language is used. Proper punctuation present. Correct state representative chosen for the district of residence.	15	
Literature  Include 2 sources of evidence that was used to support the arguments on a separate page.	15	
Total	100	

